App. F. IX-4

1923-4

File No. 3/23

Previous References

CB 3-23-1, 2, ..23
do - 44, 45

(CB)

Serial Nos. 57, 58, 59

Preserve

Later References

CB 113-15-102

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 57, 58, 59

Part B for Dec 1924

SUBJECT

Representation - of certain officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments

---

To The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.
No 432/C.B. dt 4/8/24

States that the principle adopted in the course of the reorganization of the Civil Service in fixing the pay of Asst. Comrs & other non-civil service Gazetted Officers cannot be altered and that the orders already passed in this respect should be considered as final

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 492
of 1926.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause v of the s

Representation of certain officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

12th July 1926.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

~~Third Member of Council.~~

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 4992

& 1925-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause V of the schedule)

Representation of certain officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

23rd April 1926.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

First Member of Council.

Second Member of Council.

Extra Member of Council.

C 492 of 1925-6

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. C.P. 1626

App. F. III—2]

of 192

Serial No.

Representation of certain officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments.

1) The Order sanctioning the revision of the scale of pay of Asst. Commrs. was given effect to from the 1st July 1923 and the following principle was adopted in fixing the scale of pay in the revised scale:-

(1) In the case of permanent and provisionally permanent Assistant Commissioner, two-thirds of their service prior to 1st July 1923 was counted for increments in the revised scale.

(2) In the case of sub-pro-tem and acting Asst. Commrs., however, the two-thirds of their whole acting service prior to 1st July 1923 was ordered to be counted for increments.

2. The following officers have now submitted representations praying that their whole acting service may be counted for increments as in the case of acting and sub-pro-tem Assistant Commissioners.

(vide link file) ← (i) Mr. S. Reuben,
(ii) Mr. Sri Narasimha Sastri,
& (iii) Mr. C. E. Rama Rao.

3) Messrs. S.Reuben and Sri Narasimha Sastri were provisionally permanent Asst. Commrs. before 1st July 1922 and so their cases were considered under the principle (1) noted above and their pay fixed accordingly, as they were getting increments in the old scale, being provisionally permanent. They now request that their previous acting service

NOTE—Contn. Sh

Serial No.

prior to their being made provisionally permanent which did not count for increments under the old rules may also be taken into account. The Finl. Secretary, who was consulted in the matter, was of opinion that the question of counting acting service does not arise in the case of officers who were permanent or provisionally permanent on the date on which the re-organization was brought into effect. The Dewan ordered that he was not prepared to re-open the question and Messrs. Reuben and Sri Narasimha Sastri were informed accordingly. They have now repeated their representations.

K

M

4) Mr. C.E.Rama Rao was appointed to act as Asst. Commr. and Registrar, Genl. & Rev. Sectt. in Decr. 1918. In Septr. 1921 two appointments of non-Civil Service Gazetted Officers were thrown open to the Supdts. of the Genl. & Rev. Sectt. and Mr. Rama Rao was appointed to act in one of these places In May 1923 he was confirmed as a non-Civil Service Asst. Secretary. In fixing his pay under the revised scale, the period of his acting service from Septr. 1921 was taken into account and his pay was fixed at Rs. 275/- from 1st January 1924 under the first of the principles referred to above. He now says that he was also an acting officer on 1st July 1922 the date from which the revised scale was given effect to and that his acting period from Decr. 1918 to Septr. 1921 may also be taken into account in fixing his pay in the revised scale. His case was also submitted along with the other two cases to the Dewan and as per Dewan's orders, Mr. Rama Rao was informed that his request could not be granted.

W
(Para 2)
G.O.

(R) 1st Aug 1923?

Serial No.

He has now submitted a further representation.

5) Mr. Rama Rao was not either permanent or provisionally permanent on the date from which the re-organization order was brought into force. His case therefore comes under the principles adopted for acting and sub-pro-tem Asst. Commrs. He has, however, not qualified himself by passing the required examinations either for an Asst. Commr's place under the old rules or for a non-Civil Service Officer's place under the new rules. He has passed only the Revenue examination and so he was not eligible for confirmation as an Asst. Commr. under the old rules. He is also similarly not eligible for confirmation as a non-Civil Service Officer under the revised scheme, which prescribes Revenue Higher, Criminal Higher and Accounts examinations for the non-Civil Service Officers of the Sectt. In view of the fact that he had already been confirmed as a non-Civil Service Officer before the re-organization order was issued, his acting period from Septr. 1921 was taken into account and his pay fixed accordingly. The previous acting service as Asst. Commr. in his case cannot be counted as he had not qualified himself for confirmation as an Asst. Comr.

6) Though these officers would undoubtedly derive considerable benefit by the application of the 2nd principle enumerated above the reason why this has not been done is explained in the above note. I do not think it possible to give any further relief in these cases.

B
15/4/26

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

CB . File No. 1626 of 192

Serial No.

7) He may be consulted & then the case be put up for orders. 22/4

8) To Finance 22/4

9) I agree with the Chief Secretary that no further relief is admissible in any of the three cases. The concession of counting service prior to 1st July 1922 should be regulated either according to principle No. 1 or principle No.2 and an officer who has been confirmed or provisionally appointed as Assistant Commissioner before 1st July 1923 cannot be permitted to count his whole acting service for calculation of increments. The acceptance of this principle would involve the re-opening of several cases of a similar nature and consequential extra cost.

21.4.26

To Chipchay with compliments.

10) Circulate 23/4

CS 22/4/26

9 Subject; 3 492

Representation of certain Officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commnrs for purposes of increments.

OPINIONN OF THE COUNCIL.

11) A statement may be put up as other municipal officers to whom the concession will have to be shown to use the same principle if offered to permanent & acting ones the matter by to be dealt

By
6757 L.

WM 8/5

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. C492 of 192

Serial No.

Subd

12) A statement showing the names of the several officers to whom the concession has to be shown and the extra cost that will have to be incurred is put up as per orders. It may perhaps be sent to the Comptroller for verification

ms 7/9/26

13) A typed Statement is subd. It includes the cases of 9 officers to all the 8 Provisionally permanent Asstt Comrs on 1-9-22. & Mr. C. G. Rama Rao, (a Non Cadre Service) Regr. of the Sectt.

The acty Services of Asstt Comrs & others who were permanent on 1-9-26 have not been taken into account.

14) The extra cost in taking the previous acting Service of the 9 officers in the Statement will be about Rs 11,000

If this is sanctd there will be Relve Sanctions from permanent Asstt Comrs also for Calculating their acting service before their Confirmation

Subd Mw 8/9/26

15) Subd for perusal may be again considered in Council. This case was rejected by the Commr.

8/9/26

16) Jagan 9/9/26 Idem 8/9/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

C 492

Extract from the Proceedings of the 778th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 22nd July 1926. *19*

37. Subject. - Clause v of the schedule.

Representation of certain officers for counting their service as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

17) The orders already passed cannot be reconsidered and should be regarded as final.

(Signed) Mirza M. Ismail,

Dewan of Mysore.

18) Letter D-SA

Dewan 23/7/26

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-8-21

Submitted to His Highness

19) When the new Civil Service scheme was introduced, the following principles were adopted in fixing the scale of pay of officers who were holding permanent and acting and sub-pro-tem appointments. In the case of permanent and provisionally permanent Assistant Commissioners, two thirds of their service prior to 1st July 1922 was counted for increments in the revised scale. In the case of sub-pro-tem and acting Assistant Commissioners, however, two thirds of their whole acting service prior to 1st July 1922 was ordered to be counted for increments.

20) The application of this rule, however, has led to some inequalities, the latter rule having conferred certain advantages on officers that were not permanent in as much as they got the benefit of two thirds of their acting service while those who were made permanent got their increments only for service put in by them after they became permanent. Some of the Assistant Commissioners affected have sent up representations stating that the principle ~~similar to that~~ adopted in the case of sub-pro-tem and acting Assistant Commissioners may be applied to their cases as well. In order to find out the financial effects involved in extending the benefits of this rule to ~~e~~ other officers, I asked the Chief Secretary to calculate the extra cost involved and he has put up a list showing the present rate of pay of each of the officer and that admissible if their entire acting service is taken into account irrespective of the fact whether they have been confirmed or not. The list put up by the Chief Secretary shows that there are nearly nine officers who would be entitled to special treatment and if back pay has to be given a sum of Rs.11,060 has to be given while these officers would obtain immediate additions to their pay or pension if retired, ranging from Rs.25 to Rs.75 per mensem.

The principle that the acting service put in by an officer before he becomes permanent cannot be counted towards his increment in order to give him some special benefit retrospectively

that he was not entitled to under the rules when he became permanent, when a new scheme of reorganisation has been brought into effect, seems to me to be the only sound one to be followed in such cases and as the cases of the officers have been dealt with on this principle, they have really no grievances. It is true that according to the principle adopted in regard to acting officers, one or two have received some exceptional treatment; but this may be justified on the ground that the service in such cases as Assistant Commissioners was uninterrupted and their promotion was unduly belated.

22) The matter was considered in Council who have resolved as follows:-

"The orders already passed cannot be reconsidered and should be regarded as final".

23) The Resolution of the Council may be graciously approved.

Bangalore,
24th July 1926.

Mirza M. Ismail

Psk.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Representation of certain officers for counting their services as acting Assistant Commissioners for purposes of increments.

100

Order.

~~KRM~~

26/7/26

C. Secy.

27.7.26

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C492

Representation of certain Officers for Counting their Services as acting Asst Comrs for purpose of increments.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may, in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
28 JULY 1926
No. 1110

Circulated to the Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders $\frac{\text{before}}{\text{after}}$ issue of orders. (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Govt.

Through the Secretary to Government.

.....Department.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 28/7/26

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 29.7.26

~~THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL~~

6661
28.7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mono. WD 1190—GPB—500 9-1- 3.

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

CB File No. of 192

Serial No.

Subject with adopted

SA 30/7 June 1927

G.O. No 472 dated 2.8.1928.

States that the principle adopted in the course of the reorganisation of the Civil Services, in fixing the pay of the Asst Comrs and other non-Civil Services Gazetted Officers cannot be altered and that the orders already passed in this respect should be considered as final.

B
9
1718

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Name.	Actual Ag.,s.p.t., & P.P.Service from 4-3-16 to 1-7-1922.	2/3 of column 2.	Service in 22-23.	Total of 3 & 4.	Salary that would be admissible on 1-7-23 if all acting service is taken into account.	Salary already fixed on 1-7-23 under previous orders taking only a part of the acting service for increments.	Difference.	Extra cost on account of arrears of pay due to the officers if further concession is allowed as per col.6.
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9.
	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Mr.C.Gopalachar.	6 3 27	4 2 0	1 0 0	5 2 0	375	350	25	1050
" M.S.Ramachandra Rao	5 7 11	3 9 0	1 0 0	4 9 0	350	300	50	225
" T.Hanumanta-Rao.	6- 3- 27	4 2 0	1 0 0	5 2 0	375	300	75	2200
" R.A.Narasimhaiengar.	5 6 10	3 8 0	1 0 0	4 8 0	350	300	50	1800
" C.Lakshmana Rao.	5 5 28	3 8 0	1 0 0	4 8 0	350	300	50	1800
" Abdul Aziz Khan.	2 10 26	1 11 0	1 0 0	2 11 0	300	300		225
" Sri Narasimha Sastri.	5-10 29	4 0 0	1 0 0	5 0 0	375	300	75	835-8-0 ~~1325~~
" S.Reuben.	6 3 27	4 2 0	1 0 0	5 2 0	375	300	75	1325
" C.E.Rama Rao	3 6 12	2 4 0	1 0 0	3 4 0	325	275	50	1600
								11060

8/7/26

3252
P

A further Representation by Mr. S. N. Sreenarasimha Sastry, Retired Assistant Commissioner, and Superintendent of Police, for the reconsideration of the Order passed by the Government on his first representation, re: the injustice done to him in giving effect to the re-organisation.

Most respectfully submitted to the Dewan Sahib of Mysore.

After serving in various capacities, starting from the Grade of an Inspector of Police, and rendering efficient and faithful service for over 30 years, many a time at the risk of my life, as can be seen from the history of my service, I retired on Superannuation Pension as Superintendent of Police on 26th. August 1924.

2. On 2nd. August 1916, I was given the rank, pay and status of an Assistant Commissioner, and on 1st. July 1918, I was made Sub Protem Assistant Commissioner, along with other Officers including Mr. R. Shama Rao, now Personal Assistant to the Inspector General of Police. On 9th. February 1920, I was confirmed as Provisionally Permanent Assistant Commissioner in preference to Mr. R. Shama Rao, who was till then my senior, indicating thereby that I was preferred to Mr. R. Shama Rao for confirmation. Mr. Shama Rao was confirmed as Assistant Superintendent of Police on Rs.200/- from 13th. February 1920 after I was confirmed as Assistant Commissioner on Rs.250/-

3. In consideration of the hardship felt by the Officers of Civil Service on account of the inadequacy of their increments, as compared with those of kindred services of the State, the Government was pleased to sanction the Revision of the Incremental Scale of the Assistant Commissioners to Rs.25/- per mensem, in lieu of Rs.50/- for three years, and changed the appointment from one of Class to Class Promotion to one on an Incremental Basis coming under Chapter VII of the Mysore Civil Service Regulation

Regulation (Progressive Appointments), to take effect from 1st. July 1923. In the allocation of the existing officers in the new scale, the principles adopted in the case of Permanent officers were less favourable and generous than those adopted in the case of Sub Protem and Acting Officers, although, obviously, the claims of Permanent Officers as such were entitled to equal, if not greater, consideration.

4. In regard to Permanent Officers, the principles adopted were as follows:-

The Civil Service Reorganisation came into effect on 1st. July 1923, and it was directed as a further measure of relief, (i) that the new scale may be given effect to from 1st. July 1922 for calculating increments without allowing -- any claim for back pay; (ii) that service, qualifying for increments, rendered before 1st. July 1922, should be given credit for at the rate of Two-thirds of such period, and that annual increments should be calculated for such periods according to the new scale. As qualifying service required for increments under the old scale commenced only from the dates of confirmation of Officers, who, like myself, were acting and Sub Protem for over three years previous to confirmation, their Acting and Sub Protem periods were not at all given credit for in calculating increments in this manner.

5. In the case of Sub Protem and Acting Assistant Commissioners, the principle adopted was that they should be given credit for all service put in by them as Sub Protem and Acting from 4th. March 1916. I have not been able to understand why this particular date was fixed. The acceptance of this date has, however, placed me in a distinctly disadvantageous position as compared with that of the Sub Protem and Acting Assistant Commissioners, as will be seen below:-

6. In the past, as observed above, Officers appointed as Assistant Commissioners could not calculate their service,

Acting

Acting or Sub Protem, for earning increments. The increase of Rs50/-- once in every three years, was calculated only from the date of confirmation. I was given the pay, rank and status of an Assistant Commissioner on 2nd. August 1916, and was made Sub Protem on 1st. July 1918. I was confirmed on 9th. February 1920. I had thus no credit given to me for the service rendered by me from 2nd. August 1916 to 9th. February 1920, a period of three years and six months.

7. In the case of Sub Protem and Acting Assistant Commissioners, whose claims to confirmation were held to be inferior to mine, and those of others who continued as Sub Protem and Acting Assistant Commissioners up to the time of the Reorganisation, the principles adopted, as set forth above, have entitled them to get credit for all service rendered by them from 4th. March 1916. The result has been that, while the pay fixed for me under the Reorganisation on 1st. July 1923 was Rs.300/-, Mr. Shama Rao, for instance, has been given on the same day Rs.375/-.

8. I beg leave to submit, in this connection, that even a Sub Protem Assistant Commissioner, Mr. C. Krishna Rao, included in the list mentioned in the order quoted above, who was appointed to act as Assistant Commissioner on 7th. March 1919, i.e., 2 years and 7 months after I was appointed, and was reverted to Amildari six months prior to the passing of the order, was given a higher Starting Pay of Rs.325/- on 1st. July 1923. than what was given to me. and was allowed the benefit of this calculation up to the date of his Reversion.

9. I beg leave to represent most respectfully that this disparity of treatment, which has placed me, a Permanent Officer, in a position of inferiority to that of Sub Protem and Acting Officers, viz., Messrs. R. Shama Rao and C. Krishna Rao, though three of us were serving in the Police Department, may be rectified and credit may be given to me for service rendered by me from 2nd. August 1916 to 9th. February 1920. I will then

be

be entitled to get two-thirds of Three years and Six months of my Sub Protem and Acting Service, calculated for increments. My Starting pay should thus be Rs.350/- on 1st. July 1923, Rs.375/- on 1st. Aug-ust 1923, and Rs.400/-, on 1st. August 1924, and onwards up to 26th. August 1924, the date of my retirement, instead of Rs. 300/- on 1st. July 1923, and Rs.325/- on 1st. November 1923, and onwards up to the date of my Retirement.

10. The result of the grant of the concession I have now most respectfully prayed for, will be that I will be entitled to get a slightly enhanced Pension and also the higher rate of pay from 1st. July 1923 to the date of my Retirement.

11. I beg leave to point out that the fact that I have retired from Service does not affect the grant of the relief now prayed for. In British India, retired Officers have been given the benefit of re-organisation passed after their retirement, but given effect to from a date previous to their retirement. The cases of Raja Sabha Bhushana - Karpur Srinivasa Rao, and Mr. Kadambi of Bombay P. W. D., and Mr. R. A. Sreenivasa Iyengar and others of Madrass P.W.D are in point.

12. By this gracious act of the Government, I will be greatly helped to the extent of a few Rupees back pay and of a few Rupees to my pension, while it will doubtless enhance the prestige of Government by conceding equal justice to all their faithful and loyal servants at very slight cost.

BANGALORE,
12th. February, 1926.

V. N. Narasimha Reddy

RETIRED ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
AND
PERINTENDENT OF POLICE.

35032

No. 2091

CP. 1300.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Mysore 16. - 2 - 1926.

CJ 3-23-58



Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... ~~Department~~, Bangalore, for disposal

By Order,

for Private Secretary

No. 725 of 1925-26

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
725
17 FEB 1926
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

May it Please Your Highness,

Your humble Memorialist, S. Reuben. Retired Assistant Commissioner, begs to submit the following - representation of his, re. his claim and request that the acting and sub pro tem service put in by him be - allowed to count for calculation of increments in the revised scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners, and that he be given the benefit of the same, as in the - case of Mr. R. Shama Rao and certain other officers of the Non-Civil Cadre, according to Government Order No. 2750-53/CB, 3-23-36, dated Bangalore, the 19th June, 1925, for favourable consideration of Your Highness.

Your humble Memorialist was appointed to act as Assistant Commissioner, V Class, in October, 1915. On 1st July, 1918, he was made sub pro tem Assistant Commissioner, and on the 9th February, 1920, was confirmed as provisionally permanent Assistant Commissioner of the V Class, in accordance with the promotion of subordinate Officers to the Civil Service, vide Para II, Clause (a) of the Civil Service Rules. On 9th February 1923, he was promoted to the IV Class of Assistant Commissioners under the old scale on Rs.300/- and from 1st November, 1923, he was given the pay of Rs.325/- according to the revised scale of pay sanctioned to the Civil Servants in July 1923. In fixing his pay at Rs.325/- on 1-11-1923, the period of his tenure as acting and sub pro tem Assistant Commissioner was not taken into account. The case of acting and sub pro tem Assistant Commissioners at the time was reserved for separate orders of Government.

On 1st November 1924, your humble Memorialist was given another increment of Rs.25/-. But before this increment was given to him, Government, on 22nd Sept.1924,

passed

passed orders re-organising the Cadre of Civil Service and fixing his place in the Non-Civil Service Cadre.

Your humble Memorialist was retired on 16-4-1925, that is after the above-said re-organising order above referred to was passed on 22nd September, 1924, and about 2 months subsequent to his retirement, i. e, on 16-4-1925, Government have passed orders fixing the starting salaries of Acting and Sub pro tem Assistant Commissioners, subsequently confirmed, in their Order No.2750-53/CB-3-23-36 of 19th June, 1925. In this order, the Government have been graciously pleased to take into calculation the -- total acting service of these officers up to the date of confirmation and extended to them the benefit of the application of Article 83, Clause II of the Mysore Service Regulations, and they have sanctioned such pay as these officers would have become entitled to on 1st July 1923 with effect from that day.

In passing the above Order, your humble Memorialist begs to state that his case has not been taken into consideration, though he makes bold to state that his case stands on a better footing than those recently confirmed and those who still continue to be acting and sub-pro-tem.

Your humble Memorialist was in service when Government Order No.17-61/CB--98-18-3, dated 3rd Jly,1923, re: improvement of the scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners and Government Order No.757-807/CB. 210-23-1 of 22nd - September, 1924, issuing revised rules regarding the -- Mysore Civil Service and creating a Non-Civil Service Cadre of gazetted appointments for certain Departments were passed by the Government.

It will be seen from the Government Order No. 1867-1918/CB, 210-23-20, dated 6th February, 1925, that your humble Memorialist was placed in the Non-Civil Service Cadre, as Mr. R. Shama Rao and others. Even when this Government Order was passed, your humble Memorialist was in service. Your humble Memorialist thus stands on the same footing as Mr. R. Shama Rao.

Besides, your humble Memorialist has all along been above Mr. R. Shama Rao in rank, even as Acting and sub pro tem Assistant Commissioner and was found fit for confirmation when the claims of Mr. R. Shama Rao were - over looked.

Your humble Memorialist approached the Government twice with a request for acting and sub pro tem - service put in by him being allowed to count for calculation of increments in the Revised Scale of Pay of -- Assistant Commissioners, as in the case of Mr. R. Shama Rao and others of the Non-Civil Service Cadre, who have been given the benefit of the said order dated 19-6-1925. But to his misfortune, the Government have issued an -- Endorsement to the effect that his request could not be granted, though no reasons have been assigned as to why the request could not be granted. The mere fact that your humble Memorialist retired about 2 months earlier, he submits, does not dis-entitle him to the benefit of the said order, as it is the former acting and sub pro tem service, that is taken into calculation, which your humble Memorialist also did, just as the said officers, who are given the benefit under the said order, did.

Your humble Memorialist, therefore, begs to tak the liberty of approaching Your Highness with an humble request that Your Highness will be graciously pleased to

call

call for the records and to pass such orders as Your Highness deems just and fair in the case.

For reasons given in this Memorial, the case of Your Humble Memorialist stands, if not on a better on the same footing as the case of those officers who have been shown this consideration.

Your Humble Memorialist
Subscribes himself as
Your Highness' Most humble
and obedient servant,

S Ramund
Retd Asst Commissioner

Dated 4th ~~January~~ February, 1926,
Bangalore.

AG

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

G.O.No.17-61/C.B.98-18-3 dated 3rd July 1923, revising the scale of pay of Assistant Commissioners, and certain other classes of officers with effect from the year 1923-24.

2. G.O.No.2750-53/C.B.3-23-36 dated 19th June 1926 fixing the pay of officers who were acting Assistant Commissioners at the time of the Order of Re-organization of the Mysore Civil Service

3. Representations from the officers noted in the margin requesting that the principle adopted in the above order for fixing the pay of acting and sub-pro-tem Asstt. Commrs. may be applied in their cases also.

Mr.S.Reuben,
" Sri Narasimha Sastri,
" C.E.Rama Rao.

ORDER No. 472/C.B.3-23-37

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd Aug. 1926.

While fixing the scale of pay of officers in the revised scale sanctioned in the first of the Orders read above the following principles were adopted:--

(i) In the case of permanent and provisionally permanent Asst. Commrs. two-thirds of their service as such prior to 1st July 1922, was counted for increments in the revised scale, and

(ii) In the case of sub-pro-tem and

acting Asst. Commrs., [redacted], two-thirds of their whole acting service prior to 1st July 1922 was ordered to be counted for increments.

2. The officers coming under the former rule as compared with those coming under the latter lost the benefit of counting for their increments two-thirds of any acting service, which they had put in before they became permanent, ~~for the purposes of counting their increments.~~ Some of the officers affected have sent up representations requesting that the principle adopted in the case of sub-pro-tem and acting Asst. Commrs. may be applied to their cases and the benefit of the acting service they had put in prior to confirmation given to them also.

3. Government consider that the principle adopted in the course of this particular re-organization, in the case of officers who were acting or sub-pro-tem at the time, cannot be applied retrospectively so as to give to officers who had been previously confirmed a concession to which they were not entitled ~~to~~ under the rules in force when they were confirmed. In these circumstances, Government consider that the orders already passed cannot be reconsidered and should be regarded as final.

Chief Secretary to Govt.

To 31/7. 31/7
The Comptroller to Govt.

473-5 CS 7/8/26

Copy to Messrs. 1. S. Reuben, 2. Sri Narasimha Sastri, 3. C. E. Rama Rao.